Document 6

Filed 08/10/2007 Page 1 of 6

Case 3:07-cv-03359-WHA

allegation of proper venue is denied. Claimant also asserts his Constitutional

privilege pursuant to the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution

not to answer the allegations in Paragraph Four, and on that basis the

allegations are denied.

- The allegations in Paragraphs Five and Six are admitted.
- 7. Claimant has insufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph Seven of the Complaint and on that basis the allegations are denied. Claimant also asserts his Constitutional privilege pursuant to the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution not to answer the allegations in Paragraph Seven, and on that basis the allegations are denied.
- 8. Claimant has insufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph Seven of the Complaint and on that basis the allegations are denied. Claimant also asserts his Constitutional privilege pursuant to the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution not to answer the allegations in Paragraph Eight, and on that basis the allegations are denied.
- 9-11. Claimant has insufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraphs Nine through Eleven of the Complaint and on that basis the allegations are denied. Claimant also asserts his Constitutional privilege pursuant to the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution not to answer the allegations in Paragraphs Nine through Eleven, and on that basis the allegations are denied. Claimant denies defendant \$70,000 in United States Currency is subject to forfeiture.

25

24

22

23

1	12-14 Claimant has insufficient knowledge or information to form		
2	a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraphs Twelve through		
3	Fourteen of the Complaint and on that basis the allegations are denied.		
4	Claimant also asserts his Constitutional privilege pursuant to the Fifth		
5	Amendment of the United States Constitution not to answer the allegations in		
6	Paragraphs Twelve through Fourteen, and on that basis the allegations are		
7	denied. Claimant denies defendant firearms are subject to forfeiture.		
8	In addition, Claimant raises the following numbered defenses to the		
9	Government's Forfeiture action:		
10	FIRST DEFENSE		
11	The Complaint fails to state a claim against defendant property upon		
12	which relief can be granted.		
13	SECOND DEFENSE		
14	The Plaintiff lacks probable cause for the initiation of this forfeiture suit		
15	THIRD DEFENSE		
16	The search of the property located at 3588 Pine Flat Road, Santa		
17	Cruz, California was in violation of the Fourth Amendment and/or the Fifth		
18	Amendment to the United States Constitution. All evidence seized and the		
19	fruits thereof must be suppressed.		
20	FOURTH DEFENSE		
21	The complaint for forfeiture in this action fails to adequately describe		
22	the property subject to forfeiture.		
23	FIFTH DEFENSE		
24	Forfeiture of the subject property is disproportionate to the conduct		
25	alleged in the complaint, in violation of the Eighth Amendment to the United		

1	States Constitution and the Due Process clause of the Fifth Amendment to		
2	the United States Constitution.		
3	SIXTH DEFENSE		
4	Forfeiture of the property would violate the prohibition against Double		
5	Jeopardy of the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution.		
6	SEVENTH DEFENSE		
7	This action is time barred, in that the time for the government to initiate		
8	forfeiture proceedings has passed.		
9	Please take notice that Claimant demands trial by jury of the issues		
10	and defenses raised by his claim and answer.		
11	WHEREFORE, Claimant prays that this court will:		
12	Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint and enter judgment on behalf of		
13	Claimant JIMMY LABRANCH;		
14	Award costs and attorneys fees to the Claimant;		
15	3. Provide such other relief as the Court deems proper and just.		
16	Dated: 8.0.07		
17			
18	Respectfully submitted, Peter A. Leeming		
19	Attorney for Claimant, Jimmy Labranch		
20	ominity Editation		
21			
22			
23			
24			

4 5

## **VERIFICATION**

I, JIIMMY LABRANCH, state that I am the claimant in the above case.

I have read the attached Answer to Complaint for Forfeiture and familiar with its contents. I believe the allegations stated in this answer to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 10, 2007 at Santa Cruz, California.

JIMMY/LABRANCH

1 2	Peter A. Leeming, 119124 108 Locust Street, Suite 7 Santa Cruz CA 95060		
3	Telephone (831) 425-8000		
4	Attornova for Claimant HMMV I ADD ANCH		
5	Attorneys for Claimant, JIMMY LABRANCH		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	UNITED STATES,	) Case No.: C 07 3359	
10	Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
11	V.	) )	
12	\$70,000 IN UNITED STATES CURRENCY, et al	) )	
14	Defendants	) ) )	
15		)	
16	The undersigned hereby certifies that he served a copy of the ANSWER TO		
17	COMPLAINT FOR FORFEITURE to be served this date through the Northern		
18	District of California ECF system to the following parties:		
19	Patricia J. Kenny		
20	Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, CA 94102		
22	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.		
23	Executed on August 10, 2007 at Santa Cruz, CA.		
24			
25	Peter A. Leeming		

- 6